

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



DATE MAILED: 10/07/2002

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/816,459	03/26/2001	Moshe Gefen	246/67	6427
7590 10/07/2002 DR. MARK FRIEDMAN LTD.			EXAM	INER
c/o BILL POLKINGHORN DISCOVERY DISPATCH 9003 FLORIN WAY UPPER MARLBORO, MD 20772		ENCARNACI		
		ARTIBUT	DARED MINORD	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2186		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

KA

				_ <b>y</b> y
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/816,459	GEFEN ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
_		Yamir Encarnacion	2187	
Perio	The MAILING DATE of this communication app d for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
Th - - -	SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' HE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may y within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mind again to become	a reply be timely filed  hirty (30) days will be considered timely.  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communi  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
1)	$oxed{\boxtimes}$ Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{26  I}$	<u> March 2001</u> .		
2a)	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.		
•	Since this application is in condition for allowated in accordance with the practice under			rits is
•	esition of Claims			
4)	4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.			
5)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
· .	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.			
•	☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s) 1,4,6,7 and 9 is/are objected to.			
	☐ Claim(s) 1,4,0,7 and 9 is are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement		
•	cation Papers	r election requirement.		
• •	☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
٠.	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	<u></u>	y the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abo	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□	disapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.		
12)	$\square$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priori	ty under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	<ul> <li>Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> </ul>	n priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in	Application No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			е
14)[	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			iootion)
14)[	a)  The translation of the foreign language pro			ication).
15)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	• •		
Attachr	nent(s)			
2) 🔲 N	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2187

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

- 1. Claims 1, 4, 6, 7, and 9 are objected to because of the following informalities:
  - (A) In claims 1 and 4:

- -delete the period after then "and" of the portion of the claim starting with "ii."
- -replace "An executing" with -- an executing--
- -replace "A non-executable" with --a non-executable--
- -replace "An executable" with --an executable--
- (B) In claim 6:

- (C) In claim 7:
  - -replace "executable entity" with --executing entity--
- (D) In claim 9:

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2187

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 3. Claims 1-3, 6, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by *Honma* (USPN: 5,606,529).

Claimed	Нотта
1. A system for enabling code execution from	See figure 2b.
non executable memory, comprising:	
i. An executing entity, for executing code for	See figure 2b, the host.
a host system;	
ii. A non-executable memory component, for	See figure 2b, the flash memory 2.
storing system code and data; and.	

Art Unit: 2187

iii. An executable memory component, for operating as a memory buffer for executing said code, such that a portion of contents of said non-executable memory component is located within said executable memory component, and said portion of contents of said non-executable memory component emulates executable functions of said executable memory component.

See figure 2b, the cache memory 3.

As to claim 2, the reference meets the limitation of the claim. See column 3, lines 13-15. Also, see the step labeled "transfer data in flash memory into cache" in figure 1b.

As to claim 3, column 2, line 67 thru column 2, line1 states that the memory 2 "may be any memory so long as it is an EEPROM).

As to claim 6, see the comments for claims 1 and 2 above.

As to claim 8, the step labeled "read/write request" in figure 1b meets the limitation of "querying said executable memory for data." Also, see the step labeled "transfer data in flash memory into cache" in figure 1b meets the limitation of "when queried address of said data is only available in non-executable memory, initiating a download operation from a required location of said non-executable memory, to a buffer area of said executable memory."

Art Unit: 2187

# 4. Claims 1-3 and 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Devanagundy (USPN: 6,148,384).

Claimed	Devanagundy
6. A method for executing code using	See figure 3, the registers SEEDAT1 and
non-executable memory, comprising the steps	SEEDAT0.
of:	
i. providing executable memory, for buffering	
at least one code request from an executing	
entity;	
ii. providing a non-executable memory, for	See figure 1, the SEEPROM 144.
storing executable code;	
iii. downloading at least a portion of said	See column 6, lines 60-63 stating:
executable code to said executable memory,	"Controller 340 then automatically executes
for emulating executable functions of said	the read indicated by the opcode in register
executable memory;	SEECTL and transfers bits from memory 144
	to registers SEEDAT0 (low byte) and/or
	SEEDAT1(high byte)."

Art Unit: 2187

iv. executing at least one said code request	The reference meets the limitations of the
from said executable memory; and	claim.
v. buffering an execution of contents of said	
non-executable memory in said executable	
memory.	

As to claim 7 and the limitation of the "supplying a busy signal in cases where said contents are not available, such that the executable entity delays the read cycle until said contents are available," column 6, lines 64-67 state that "[t]he device that requested the read can periodically poll the busy bit to determine when the requested data is available in registers SEEDAT0 and SEEDAT1."

As to claims 1-3, see the comments above made with regard to claims 6-7.

5. Claims 1-6 and 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by *Pashley* (USPN: 6,418,506 B1).

Claimed	Pashley
4. A system for executing code using	See figure 1.
non-executable memory, comprising:	
i. An executing entity, for executing code;	See figure 1, the processor 104.
ii. A non-executable memory component, for	See figure 1, the flash array 103.
storing said code and data; and.	

Art Unit: 2187

iii. A plurality of executable memory components that operate as multiple memory buffers for preventing memory lockage for accesses to said data during download operations of said code.

See figure 1, the RAM Write Buffer array

101. The examiner notes that column 5, lines

10-14, state that "for one embodiment, data is
read from the RAM array by an external
device during at least a portion of time in
which data is written to the flash array from []
the RAM array."

As to claim 5, the reference meets the limitation of the claim.

As to claims 1 and 3, see comments above.

As to claims 2, 6, and 8, see figure 4 and the comments above.

As to claim 9, the examiner notes that column 8, lines 59-64 state that '[f]or one embodiment of the present invention during at least a portion of the period of time in which the target is being read from the flash memory array of the RAM/flash IC memory device, a second amount of data is written to the RAM array of the memory device, from for example, another external device.' Also, see column 10, lines 15-33.

6. Claims 1-3 and 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by *Rhee* (USPN: 5,608,673).

Page 8

Art Unit: 2187

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this or an earlier communication from the Examiner should be directed to Yamir Encarnacion by phone at (703) 308-5466.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Do Yoo, can be reached on (703) 308-4908.

Any formal response to this action intended for entry should be mailed to Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231 or faxed to (703) 746-7239 and labeled "FORMAL" or "OFFICIAL." Any informal or draft communication should be faxed to (703) 746-7240 and labeled "INFORMAL" or "UNOFFICIAL" or "DRAFT" or "PROPOSED" and followed by a phone call to the Examiner at the above number. Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

YEE

Yamir Encarnacion

Patent Examiner

September 23, 2002

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100